

"TURN BACK"

—o— Continued from page 1 —o—

through the Berlin crisis, which from the very beginning was a farce and was being used to frighten the citizens into supporting and passing certain legislations, which could not have been achieved under calmer circumstances. The drive was on. The U.S. on several occasions had now saved the U.N. from total bankruptcy, and was also underwriting its armed fiasco in the Congo. Now the hour had come, for virtually our complete surrender of sovereignty so as to save the U.N. and to strengthen its position.

All through the night of Sunday, September 17, patriots throughout our nation were storming our Congress with telegrams and phone calls to halt the passage of S.R. 2180 or H.R. 7936. These Bills which were being rushed towards passage in the closing hour of Congress and prior to Kennedy's appearing before the U.N., had within them complete dictatorial power in the establishment of a peace agency, with a director who would only be responsible to the President and Secretary of State. Further, it would permit the setting up of a private bureau of investigation which could carry on relations with Soviet Russia and other countries; could confiscate the arms of private citizens; could use the funds of private foundations; could have full access to the Atomic Energy Commission, and virtually would have the powers of full dictatorship.

Here again, the crash of a plane and the fighting will of a people may well have saved our nation from complete surrender to a world antichrist dictatorship. A token Bill, following the death of Dag Hammarskjold, was prepared more or less to show our determination for world peace, but it did not embody these surrenders of our rights. These two dangerous Bills are now still in the hands of the committees headed by Senator McClellan and Representative Thomas E. Morgan, who will attempt to railroad them through the next session of Congress.

3. The death of Dag Hammarskjold which brought a crisis to the U.N. now has brought into light the fact that the present Administration has been working to allow the entrance of Outer Mongolia and Red China into the U.N. The Supreme Court Justice Douglas, on a trip to Russia, has now openly stated in Moscow that he is in favor of working for the admission of Outer Mongolia. The admission of either of these nations or both into the U.N., only strengthens the Soviet power.

4. The death of Hammarskjold now shows the weakness of a man and the fallacy of the U.N. to create world peace. It unmasks the U.N. for what it is—an instrumentality through which the Soviet Government seeks to seize world control at our expense and prestige. The confusion is great, and while we are paying over 50% of the bill and are being allowed only one vote, some nations as small as a quarter of a million people in Africa have just as much voting power as the United States.

We can now see the dangerous course that is presently being taken to which the Administration already seems half-heartedly committed—that through certain bargaining, East Germany may become a satellite state of Russia, and the U.N. headquarters, or a section thereof, be placed in Berlin, and Berlin becoming an internationalized city.

A weak sister by the name of Mongi Slim has been named President of the U.N., and his record shows that down through the years he has played piggyback with the Communists. Now Russia is demanding a four-member Secretariat which will consist of a Westerner, a Soviet, an African and an Asian member; and you can imagine who these will be and where the power will lie.

For years now we have been taught that the U.N. was formed to save us, and yet all it has been doing is spending our money

— ABOUT THE AUTHOR —



Kenneth Goff—former communist, author of many fine books exposing the reds and revealing their plans for a take-over of the United States. While a communist he was invited to White House by Eleanor Roosevelt.

and surrendering our precious liberties to save IT! If its so-called leadership were so brainy, why were they not prepared with a successor to Dag Hammarskjold? In his death it almost appeared as a one-man show. One asks: "Has the U.N. ever been an instrument of peace? Since 1945 there has never been a moment of rest without fighting and bloodshed on our globe. Mankind everywhere has been beating their plowshares into swords; civil war, revolutions and bloody conflicts between the nations have continued since the inception of the U.N., and through it all there has been only ONE victor—SOVIET RUSSIA. The Communist forces, through the protection of the U.N., have been able to conquer seven hundred and fifty million more people, and honeycombed and weakened the remaining of the earth's governments.

The U.N. has afforded at our expense, the Red Soviet a sounding-board through which they could propagandize the world. The U.N. has been a millstone around our necks, which rightfully we can see caused our defeat in Korea, Cuba and Laos. It has developed a fear within us that has caused us not to defend "our way of life," and has developed a false philosophy that the U.N., and IT alone, can preserve peace in the world, and has forbidden prayer to the only ONE Who can bring peace, the Lord Jesus Christ. It has caused us, as a Christian nation, to lean upon the arm of flesh; to have fellowship and break bread with the ungodly and the antichrist. It has caused us to belittle our heritage and to surrender our loyalty to Christ and nation. It has caused us to act like pygmies in an hour when we should be giants, and it is rapidly destroying everything that our founding fathers fought and bled and died for.

How long will a free people tolerate this tragic surrender? How long will we continue to underwrite this utter failure and still purport to be wise men? The time has truly come when we should unshackle ourselves from this antichrist burden and withdraw from the U.N. and order them and their spy system from our shores. Only then can we ask heaven's help and receive it.



GET THE U.N. OUT OF THE U.S.

PEACE by FORCE

European correspondent—Economic Council

COMMENTS ON HAMMARSKJOLD'S DEATH

Paris daily papers, from Right to Left, covering the death of Dag Hammarskjold, were filled with the mock piety of the usual obituary. To the logical, middle-of-the-road Frenchman, however, Mr. Hammarskjold's passing came like a burst of light and could be summed up as follows: "It is time for UN to crack. If his (Mr. H's) untimely demise will hasten the crack-up, we are all to the good."

Europe's last picture of him was that of the self-satisfied Secretary General, holding a cold chicken and whisky-soda garden party, in the cool hills outside Leopoldville, while the Ghurkas massacred the Katangans; the frantic scramble for legal arguments of justification, none of which held water, before the embarrassing meeting with the British Foreign Minister, Lord Lansdowne; the blatant lie, unblushingly handed out in America, that "even Tshombe's troops, except in cases where the latter are agitated by Foreign (French and Belgian inferred) adventurers, are on excellent terms with UN forces."

Dr. Coner Cruise O'Brien, the UN representative in the Congo, the man who put the match to the powder barrel, is well known in Paris, when he passed two years as Counselor of Embassy. Paris summing up of him: Zero. A man who had never conducted a complex negotiation was picked for the Congo job by Dag Hammarskjold last June because of his ideological fervor and for that only. O'Brien is forty-four; a militant do-gooder. He made fiery speeches about independence for Cyprus and Algeria. His ideas of liberty, however, did not extend to Katanga, the last corner of sanity in the formerly well-run Belgian Congo.

The Congo job was O'Brien's first opportunity in a lifetime, of putting his theories into practice. He made the most of it. Tshombe, Katanga's leader, dared to disagree with him, a thing probably no African had ever done before. In his capacity as viceroy of Mr. H., i.e., world government, Dr. O'Brien saw such disagreement as treason.

"Tshombe is difficult. You cannot reason with him," O'Brien wrote. Moscow's man, Gizenga, and Mr. Adoula, being enemies of Tshombe, were accordingly "reasonable."

UN's "Peace Force," mainly supported by America as "a force to insure order and prevent bloodshed where the risk of bloodshed exists," thus, on O'Brien's arbitrary and illegal decision, became the cause of Katanga's bloodbath where risk of bloodshed was previously non-existent. That, briefly, is the European summing up of Mr. H's last operation.

O'Brien wanted to present his chief, Hammarskjold, with a *fait accompli* before the cold chicken and whisky party in Leopoldville, with Lumumba's lieutenant, Gizenga, safely installed in Tshombe's seat. Without waiting to see how his plan would turn out, he triumphantly announced, "The State of Katanga has ceased to exist." The now embarrassed British Consul in Elizabethville obligingly passed this word on to the Foreign Office.

In the days that followed, repugnance became Western Europe's principal emotion, with most disgust reserved for America's hypocrisy in refusing to face the truth; viz., that by unloosing the Katanga attack, UN was not preserving peace, but starting a civil war in the attempt to force a solution that was none of UN's business.

Khrushchev might well have had his eye on Coner Cruise O'Brien when he told the leaders of the communist world last December, "Do not alarm the West by meddling openly in Africa. Remain outside. Our best agents of subversion are the West's non-communist Left. Let them do the work for us."